An open-source arterial performance monitoring system based on multiple traffic data sources

- High-resolution traffic signal events
- Wi-Fi/Bluetooth
- GPS trajectories
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Background

• Arterial performance is critical for urban traffic mobility
  • Monitoring arterial performance is a major task for most public agencies

• Traditional arterial performance monitoring is to measure arterial speed as opposed to posted speed limit (HCM LOS)
High-resolution signal events data and new arterial MOEs

- Traffic signal controller is a discrete state machine
  - Driven by events (vehicle arrivals, veh/ped calls, phase transitions, etc.)
  - Each event include time stamp, event type and event index
    - E.g. “vehicle placed a vehicle call on phase 8 at 7:01:02.2 AM, May-02-2019”

- Logging such events data has become a standard function for most traffic signal controllers

- These events can be used to develop novel arterial performance MOEs
  - Purdue University has been working on this topic since 2000
  - Popular new MOEs: Purdue Coordination Diagram
  - Utah DOT contributes an open-source system to visualize the proposed new MOEs

- FHWA is strongly promoting this new method
New puzzles for public agencies

• Open question 1: Arterial speed v.s. coordination diagram
  • Which arterial MOE should be preferred?
  • Or should we use them together?

• Open question 2: How to conduct green-band analysis?
  • Green-band analysis is the foundation of traffic signal coordination
  • However, the common approach is valid for fixed traffic signal coordination
  • Many traffic signal coordination systems are actuated. The standard band analysis barely holds because of the “Early return to green”.
    • The effective green bands vary cycle by cycle.
  • Can we do better to measure the effective green-bands for actuated traffic signal systems?

• Open question 3: we want additional new MOEs to see full-spectrum arterial performance
  • Traditional MOEs: speed, delay, queue length
  • Novel MOEs: Arrivals on Green, etc.
  • Wish list: new MOEs
Some facts of our open-source arterial performance monitoring system

- The complete system is an integral hardware-software solution
  - For on-line arterial performance monitoring (update every 5-15 min), customized field sensors are needed to collect raw Wi-Fi/Bluetooth and raw traffic signal events
  - The system reaches the industrial grade
- Deployed at 4 intersections in Starkville, MS, in July 2018
  - The complete hardware-software option
  - Exceed the requirements for Technological readiness level (TRL) 8 by FHWA
- Visualized 4 intersections for Delaware DOT (3rd party data)
- The central system can be used alone to evaluate the arterial performance in an off-line manner
  - Take 3rd party signal events data and Wi-Fi/Bluetooth travel time samples and visualize
Technology Readiness Level Guidebook (Federal Highway Administration)
Scalable System architecture

suitable for large-scale applications

Super light C++ programs (less than 1MB RAM consumption)
MOE1 at one intersection: Purdue coordination diagram and Time-of-day arterial travel speed

An enhanced coordination diagram including both PCD and HCM LOS for arterials

**Phase 2 (EB): Hwy12 at Louisville Rd. Starkville, MS (07/19/2019)**

- **Free**
  - AoG: 72.1%
  - GT: 75.4%
- **Plan 58**
  - AoG: 51.5%
  - GT: 54.0%
- **Free**
  - AoG: 42.9%
  - GT: 40.5%
- **Plan 58**
  - AoG: 37.4%
  - GT: 39.3%
- **Free**
  - AoG: 35.2%
  - GT: 35.7%
- **Plan 61**
  - AoG: 35.9%
  - GT: 36.0%
- **Free**
  - AoG: 47.4%
  - GT: 46.3%

**a: PCD diagram with arterial LOS**

**HCM LOS (A-F)**

AoG and GT by action plan
MOE2 at one intersection: Mainline approach congestion identification

Measured arrivals via advance detectors (demand) vs. actual road capacity (green%*saturation rate)

(Capacity curve should be always higher than the actual arrival curve)

**Phase 2 (EB): Hwy12 at Loussville Rd. Starkville, MS (07/19/2019)**

**Time-of-Day Volume/Capacity Curves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Day</th>
<th>TOD Actual Arrivals</th>
<th>TOD Effective Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freeway: AoG: 72.1% GT: 75.4%</td>
<td>Freeway: AoG: 47.4% GT: 46.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Am: AoG: 51.5% GT: 54.0%</td>
<td>Am: AoG: 35.9% GT: 36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM: AoG: 42.9% GT: 40.5%</td>
<td>PM: AoG: 35.2% GT: 35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Night: AoG: 37.4% GT: 39.3%</td>
<td>Night: AoG: 35.9% GT: 36.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b: Time-of-day measured travel demands and road capacities
MOE3 at one intersection: Yellow light and red-light running
Greenbands visualization in time space diagram for actuated traffic signal coordination

- One of the most desirable diagrams by practitioners
- Greenbands are established cycle-by-cycle according to the actual phase transitions
MOE4 for multiple intersections: Arrival on Coordination

To distinguish the arrivals during coordination and otherwise

\[ \text{Effective green band for this cycle} \]

\[ \text{AoC} = \frac{\text{Arrivals in band}}{\text{Total arrivals}} \]
MOE5: Greenband Analysis based on observed moving speed

Greenband establishment is highly sensitive to the choice of vehicle speeds, especially when vehicles are slow due to congestions. **An important finding!**

![Diagram showing effective green band widths calculated with various moving speeds.](image)

Moving Speed = 30 mph

Moving Speed = 40 mph

Effective Green band widths calculated with various moving speeds.
Coordination Diagrams of four intersections in Delaware
MOE6: Vehicle trajectories in actual time-space diagram

Visualize GPS trajectories in actual time-space diagram
When it is deployed…

Proposed Network Architecture for the arterial performance monitoring system

City Intranet

City ATMS (for data)

UTA parsing program

City of Arlington’s Virtual Machine

UTA Virtual Machine

Data’s 2nd copy

INTERNET

City arterials
Future work

Explore integrate the 3rd party vehicle trajectories (e.g., INRIX, Wejo)

Explore the next generation database engine for data retrieving

- Without vehicle trajectories: easily 500,000 new records per day archived into the database at each intersection
- With 3rd party vehicle trajectories: easily reach billions of records per day
- Current relational database cannot tackle this magnitude of data, new database techniques must be investigated
  - e.g., GPU database, NOSQL database engine
  - No cheap database solutions, but it may be paid off if urban traffic congestions can be really avoided

Explore automated arterial optimization with machine learning

- New MOEs, big data sets, why not?
- The current practice is basically a trial-and-error methods.
Please enjoy the show...
Thank you

If you wish to try out or deploy this system, please contact Taylor Li: pengfei.li@uta.edu